

## EPA Official Record

---

**Notes ID:** 77A1B4CA9949A3DB85257869005490C4

**From:** Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US

**To:** "Schroeder, Paul R ERDC-EL-MS" <Paul.R.Schroeder@usace.army.mil>; thomas.j.fredette@usace.army.mil; Earl.Hayter@usace.army.mil; Mark.J.Anderson.Jr@usace.army.mil; Maryellen.lorio@usace.army.mil

**Delivered Date:** 05/07/2010 11:23 AM EDT

**Subject:** final comments on the NBH CAD cell model report

Hello - thanks to all involved with this effort. Looks to me like we have a very good product. My relatively few and minor comments are attached in blue text.

My one over-arching comment (repeated in the attachment) is this: the modeling assumes placement over three years, yet our cost estimates are now assuming only one or two years (depending on funding) to fill the cell. Can the report add a short discussion about but what the likely impact would be (i.e., higher or lower losses) if the cell is filled over a shorter time frame - i.e., one or two years?

Thanks again - Dave

p.s. - I'll look over the tables and figures early next week and get any comments on those to you as well)



lhcc.erdcl.model.rep.finalreview.doc